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#### Abstract

The coefficients $c_{k}(\mathrm{k}=2,4,6)$ that pertain to spin-correlated matrix elements of the tensor operator $\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}$ have been evaluated by means of the differences $\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}$ (intermediate) - $\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}(\mathrm{LS})$ and the reduced matrix elements of the operator $\boldsymbol{V}^{(1 k)}$. Only spin-allowed transitions have been considered from each ground level to the excited energy levels within the $4 \mathrm{f}^{2}$ and $4 \mathrm{f}^{12}$ configurations of the free ions $\operatorname{Pr}(3+)$ and Tm (3+), respectively. The values of the coefficients $c_{k}$ thus found correspond in most cases by sign and order of magnitude to those determined in other sources as corrections to lanthanide (3+) crystal-field parameters.
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## 1 Introduction

The problem of spin correlation has been raised by Newman in order to explain the crystal-field (CF) splitting of the ground level ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~S}_{7 / 2}$ in $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ [1]. Judd has proposed general formulae for its evaluation and discussed several examples of the spincorrelation effect on the CF parameters of $\operatorname{Ln}^{3+}[2,3]$. Later, various research groups have contributed to the solution of the problem either in theoretical plan or with semiempirical estimates of this effect.

The mechanism of spin correlation is based on the energy difference of the openshell electrons originating from different spin orientation. The electrons with parallel spins have lower net repulsion due to attractive exchange and direct Coulomb inter-

[^0]actions resulting in more compact radial distribution and increased Slater parameters compared to the electrons with antiparallel spins [4,5].

Positive contributions to the coefficients $c_{k}$ have been found to arise from $4 \mathrm{f}-3 \mathrm{p}$ covalency in $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$[4]. Significant corrections to the Slater parameters $\mathrm{F}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ for $\mathrm{Pr}^{3+}$ have been obtained by considering spin-correlated Coulomb interaction and found of equal importance compared with other contributions [5]. The effect of spin correlation on the conventional CF parameters $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}<\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{k}}>$ has been calculated for the system $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$by means of the coefficients $c_{k}$ [6]. It has been shown that the spin-correlated CF operator is diagonal in the total spin $S$ of an open shell [7]. The spin correlation has been included as separate contribution to the splitting of the ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~S}_{7 / 2}$ of $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ [8].

The energy levels of $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}: \mathrm{LaCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Ho}^{3+}: \mathrm{LaCl}_{3}$ have been fitted with 12 - and 20-parameters respectively and the upper bounds of the spin-correlation coefficients $c_{k}$ estimated [9]. The same coefficients have been determined for eight $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+}$ ions $(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Pm}, \mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Dy}, \mathrm{Ho}, \mathrm{Er})$ by fitting the available energy levels of these ions in $\mathrm{LaCl}_{3}$ matrix [10]. A sixth-rank spin-correlated crystal-field operator has been found useful in the interpretation of the structure of the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}(2)_{11 / 2}$ multiplet of $\mathrm{Nd}^{3+}$ in several host crystals [11].

A more recent study deals with spin-correlated CF analysis of $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Eu}$ or Tb ) in cubic sites of elpasolites, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{NaLnX}_{6}$ [12].

Almost all features of the spin correlation have been examined so far mostly on the system $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+}: \mathrm{LaCl}_{3}$. In addition, the estimates of the spin-correlation effect have been obtained only as corrections to CF parameters. The present report is aimed at the evaluation of the spin-correlation coefficients $c_{k}(\mathrm{k}=2,4,6)$ of the free ions Pr IV and Tm IV for their triplet energy levels above each triplet ground level.

## 2 Method

The values of the coefficients $c_{k}(\mathrm{k}=2,4,6)$ have been calculated according to the following formula modified from that one used by various authors [2,4,7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}=\frac{\left\langle\psi\left\|U^{(k)}\right\| \psi^{\prime}\right\rangle_{I M}-\left\langle\psi\left\|U^{(k)}\right\| \psi^{\prime}\right\rangle_{L S}}{[S(S+1) /(2 S+1)]^{1 / 2}\left\langle\psi\left\|V^{(1 k)}\right\| \psi^{\prime}\right\rangle} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the reduced matrix elements of the tensor operator $\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}$ are between wave functions in intermediate (IM) and LS bases, S is the total spin quantum number of the energy level ${ }^{2 S+1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{J}}$, and $<\psi\left\|\mathrm{V}^{(1 k)}\right\| \psi^{\prime}>$ are the reduced matrix elements of the tensor operator $\boldsymbol{V}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}$ between the wave functions $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$. The above expression applies only to wave functions with the same spin, $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \delta\left(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right)=1$, i.e. the delta function is implicitly included in Eq. 1 [7]. It is assumed in the present study that the reduced matrix elements between wave functions in IM basis $<\psi\left\|\mathrm{U}^{(\mathrm{k})}\right\| \psi^{\prime}>_{\mathrm{IM}}$ contain correction factors proportional to $c_{k}$.

The matrix elements of the tensor operator $\boldsymbol{U}^{(k)}$ have been determined as products of 6 j -symbols and doubly reduced matrix elements of the configurations $4 f^{2}$ and $4 f^{12}$ by the expression given below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle f^{N} \alpha L S J\left\|U^{(k)}\right\| f^{N} \alpha^{I} L^{I} S^{I} J^{I}\right\rangle \\
& =(-1)^{S+L^{I}+J+k} \delta\left(S, S^{I}\right)\left[(2 J+1)\left(2 J^{I}+1\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
J & J^{I} & k \\
L^{I} & L & S
\end{array}\right\}\left\langle f^{N} \alpha L S\left\|U^{(k)}\right\| f^{N} \alpha^{I} L^{I} S^{I}\right\rangle \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The wave functions in IM basis of the free ions Pr IV and Tm IV have been reported previously [13]. Since the open subshell $4 \mathrm{f}^{12}$ (Tm IV) is complementary to the closed subshell $4 \mathrm{f}^{14}$ by the open subshell $4 \mathrm{f}^{2}$ (Pr IV), the following relationship holds between the corresponding matrix elements [14]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle f^{14-N} v L S\left\|Q^{(q k)}\right\| f^{14-N} v^{I} L^{I} S^{I}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=-(-1)^{\left[\left(v-v^{I}\right) / 2\right]+q+k}\left\langle f^{N} v L S\left\|Q^{(q k)}\right\| f^{N} v^{I} L^{I} S^{I}\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ designate seniority numbers; with $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{q}=0$, and with $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{q}=1$.
A transponding relationship between the reduced matrix elements has been applied in order to select the appropriate sequence of the energy levels for each transition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f^{N} \alpha L S\left\|Q^{(q k)}\right\| f^{N} \alpha^{I} L^{I} S^{I}\right\rangle=(-1)^{L+S-L^{I}-S^{I}}\left\langle f^{N} \alpha^{I} L^{I} S^{I}\left\|Q^{(q k)}\right\| f^{N} \alpha L S\right\rangle \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{U}$ or V are the same as above, $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ are unspecified quantum numbers.
The doubly reduced matrix elements $\left\|\mathrm{U}^{(\mathrm{k})}\right\|$ and $\left\|\mathrm{V}^{(\mathrm{k} 1)}\right\|$ are available for all pairs of multiplets of $f^{2}$ [14]. The 6 j -symbols have been determined after the procedure prescribed by Jucys and Bandzaitis [15].

Table 1 Matrix elements of the tensor operator $\boldsymbol{U}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}$ in IM and LS couplings and spin-correlation coefficients $c_{k}$ for spin-allowed transitions of Pr IV

| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IM}}^{(2)}$ | 0.5730 | 0.0258 | 1.2189 | 0.4445 | 0.2084 | 0 | 0.0252 | 0.0057 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IM}}^{(4)}$ | -0.7818 | -0.3009 | 1.1002 | 1.0229 | 0.3291 | 0.7090 | 0.7308 | 0.3080 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IM}}^{(6)}$ | -1.3523 | -0.7232 | -0.6067 | -1.4490 | -1.1334 | 0 | 0 | -0.6564 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{LS}}^{(2)}$ | 0.5674 | 0.0750 | 1.2234 | 0.4577 | 0.0904 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{LS}}^{(4)}$ | -0.8218 | -0.2478 | 1.1199 | 1.0476 | 0.4253 | 0.6901 | 0.7559 | 0.3481 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{LS}}^{(6)}$ | -1.3234 | -0.6843 | -0.6513 | -1.4564 | -1.1282 | 0 | 0 | -0.7252 |
| $c_{2}$ | 0.0053 | -0.0469 | -0.0054 | -0.0158 | 0.1410 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $c_{4}$ | 0.0440 | 0.0584 | -0.0194 | -0.0243 | -0.0947 | 0 | -0.0364 | -0.0581 |
| $c_{6}$ | 0.0249 | 0.0335 | -0.0358 | -0.0059 | 0.0042 | 0 | 0 | -0.1486 |

Table 2 Matrix elements of the tensor operator $\boldsymbol{U}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}$ in IM and LS couplings and spin-correlation coefficients $c_{k}$ for spin-allowed transitions of $\mathrm{Tm} \mathrm{IV}^{(\mathrm{a})}$

| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IM}}^{(2)}$ | 1.2214 | 0.5675 | 0.9130 | 1.4653 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IM}}^{(4)}$ | 1.4075 | 0.8331 | 0.6722 | 0.9741 | 0.4593 | 0.7264 | 1.1202 | 1.0170 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IM}}^{(6)}$ | 0.8362 | 1.3837 | 1.3447 | 1.5883 | 0.8546 | 0.4649 | 0.6096 | 0.1245 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{LS}}^{(2)}$ | 1.5736 | 0.5701 | 0.0748 | 1.4720 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{LS}}^{(4)}$ | 1.6242 | 0.8368 | 0.2478 | 0.9785 | 0.2500 | 0.7502 | 1.1252 | 1.2993 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{LS}}^{(6)}$ | 1.4355 | 1.3899 | 0.6843 | 1.5955 | 0.9535 | 0.4629 | 0.6124 | 0.4129 |
| $c_{2}$ | 0.4214 | 0.0024 | -0.7866 | 0.0080 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $c_{4}$ | 0.2133 | -0.0042 | 0.4671 | 0.0043 | -0.2061 | 0.0345 | 0.0074 | 0.4094 |
| $c_{6}$ | -0.4805 | -0.0054 | 0.5685 | -0.0057 | -0.0793 | 0.0043 | -0.0060 | 1.1611 |

${ }^{(a)}$ The non-zero values of $\left\|\mathrm{U}^{(k)}\right\|$ are negative
Table 3 Coefficients $c_{k}$ of spin-correlation for lanthanide ions

| ${\mathrm{Level}, \mathrm{Ln}^{3+}, \text { matrix }} c_{2}$ | $c_{4}$ | $c_{6}$ | Ref. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{6} \mathrm{I}_{17 / 2}, \mathrm{Gd}^{3+}: \mathrm{LaCl}_{3}$, | $\leq\|0.05\|$ | $\leq\|0.1\|$ | $\leq\|0.3\|$ |  |
| ${ }^{6} \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{J}}, \mathrm{Gd}^{3+}: \mathrm{LaCl}_{3}$ | -0.03 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~K}_{8}, \mathrm{Ho}^{3+}: \mathrm{LaCl}_{3}$ |  |  | $-9(-0.01)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | $-0.11 \pm 0.13$ | $-0.10 \pm 0.15$ | $-0.14 \pm 0.15$ | $[4]$ |
| ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~S}_{7 / 2}, \mathrm{Gd}^{3+}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | -0.149 | -0.147 | -0.141 | $[6]$ |
| ${ }^{6} \mathrm{I}_{17 / 2}, \mathrm{Gd}^{3+}: \mathrm{LaCl}_{3}$, | $<\|0.05\|$ | $<\|0.1\|$ | $<\|0.3\|$ | $[9]$ |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~K}_{8}, \mathrm{Ho}^{3+}: \mathrm{LaCl}_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{LaCl}_{3}: \mathrm{Pr}^{3+}$, | $(0.021)$ | -0.085 | $(0.005)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Nd}^{3+}$, | 0.156 | 0.093 | 0.032 |  |
| $\mathrm{Pm}^{3+}$, | $(0.047)$ | -0.040 | 0.117 |  |
| $\mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$, | $(0.008)$ | $(0.062)$ | 0.060 |  |
| $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$, | $(-0.004)$ | -0.044 | 0.133 |  |
| $\mathrm{Dy}^{3+}$, | $(0.022)$ | 0.042 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ho}^{3+}$, | -0.107 | 0.135 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Er}^{3+}$ | -0.096 | -0.305 |  |  |
| ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~S}_{7 / 2}, \mathrm{Gd}^{3+}:$ | 0.038 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \cdot 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.120 |  |  |  |

(b) Values in parentheses reported ill-determined in both sign and magnitude

## 3 Results and discussion

Tables 1 and 2 contain coefficients $c_{k}$ of spin correlation determined after Eq. 1 as well as the necessary matrix elements $<\psi\left\|\mathrm{U}^{(k)}\right\| \psi^{\prime}>$ in two approximations whereas the available $c_{k}$ 's needed for comparison are compiled in Table 3. If the coefficients
of spin correlation $c_{k}<0$, slight contraction of the radial wave functions takes place, $c_{k}>0$, respective expansion, and with $c_{k}=0$, lack of change due to spin correlation. The zero values are due to zero reduced matrix elements of $\boldsymbol{U}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}$ and $\boldsymbol{V}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}$.

All triplet-triplet transitions ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{J}},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{J}},{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{J}}$, of the free ion Pr IV are presented in Table 1. When the values of $c_{k}$ 's from this table are compared with those known for $\mathrm{Pr}^{3+}: \mathrm{LaCl}_{3}$ and quoted in Table 3, it is seen that they have nearly the same magnitude in the range $(-0.15,0.15)$ and about half of our coefficients $c_{k}$ are negative. It has been shown that the sign of the coefficients $c_{k}$ depend on the mechanism considered to get agreement with experimental zero field splittings and in particular, for $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ in lanthanum ethylsulphate $c_{k}>0$ [16]. It should be noted that the spin-correlation coefficients $c_{k}$ in Table 1 have small, but specific values for each transition.

About $2 / 3$ of the spin-correlation coefficients obtained in this work for Tm IV are compatible with those given in Table 3; some of the higher values of $c_{k}$ in Table 2 are close to the values of $c_{k}$ for neighboring $\mathrm{Er}^{3+}$ in Table 3. It has been noted that certain lower values of $c_{k}$ in Table 3 have been determined by the authors with insufficient accuracy [10]. On the other side, values much higher than $c_{6} \approx 1.2$ for Tm IV has been proposed, e.g. for the level ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~K}_{8}$ of $\mathrm{Ho}^{3+}: \mathrm{LaCl}_{3}, c_{6}=-9$ [2].

## 4 Conclusions

The spin-correlation effect studied in this work reveals the following features:
(i) the coefficients $c_{k}$ represent corrections to the matrix elements $<\psi\left\|\mathrm{U}^{(\mathrm{k})}\right\| \psi^{\prime}>$ and are characteristic for each triplet level ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{J}},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{J}}$, and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{J}}$ above the ground level ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ (Pr IV) or ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ (Tm IV). Most $c_{k}$ 's determined here correspond by order of magnitude and sign to the reported overall $c_{k}$ 's estimated from energy-level fitting procedures for $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+}: \mathrm{LaCl}_{3}$;
(ii) it exerts small changes on the radial wave functions since $\left\|c_{k}\right\| \leq 0.15$ in more than $80 \%$ of the mentioned transitions;
(iii) it can be determined for the free ions Ln IV apart from the spin-correlated crystalfield effect of trivalent lanthanides. The calculation is direct and based on the assumption that the corrected (or intrinsic) matrix elements $<\psi\left\|\mathrm{U}^{(\mathrm{k})}\right\| \psi^{\prime}>$ are between wave functions in IM coupling approximation. These wave functions have been derived from experimental optical spectra of the mentioned free ions in the respective $4 f^{2}$ and $4 f^{12}$ configurations [13].
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